Under	the Par	MAY 1 6 2005 Mark Reduction Act of 1996	no persons	U.S. are required to respond to a Application Number	S. Patent and T	rademark formation	PTO/SB/21 (09-04) of for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031 Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE unless it displays a valid OMB control number.	[AF
TRANSMITTAL			Filing Date	10/632,27	10/632,273		1 /	
			First Named Inventor	July 31, 2003			,	
FORM			Art Unit		Warren M. Farnworth et al.			
				Examiner Name	2829			
(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)			Attorney Docket Number		Russell M. Kobert			
Total Number of Pages in This Submission				Attorney Docket Number	MI22-2379	MI22-2379		
ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply)								
Ame	Fee Transmittal Form Fee Attached Amendment/Reply After Final Affidavits/declaration(s) Extension of Time Request Express Abandonment Request Information Disclosure Statement Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)		F F C C C	Drawing(s) Licensing-related Papers Petition Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Address Terminal Disclaimer Request for Refund CD, Number of CD(s) Landscape Table on CD		After Allowance Communication to TC Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief) Proprietary Information Status Letter Other Enclosure(s) (please Identify below): PTO Return Postcard Receipt Check no. 146522 in the amount of \$1,350.00 Examiner Interview Sum May 11, 2005		nary of
		SIGNA	TURE O	F APPLICANT. ATT	ORNEY. C	R AGE		
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT Firm Name Wells St. John P.S.								
Signature Davin								
Printed name D. Brent Kenady		D. Brent Kenady						
Date		5-16-05			Reg. No.	40,045		

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below: Signature Sent via Express Mail Label No. EV 549568657 US Date Typed or printed name

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and1.14. This collection is estimated to 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application Serial No
Filing Date July 31, 2003
Inventor
Assignee Micron Technology, Inc.
Group Art Unit
Examiner R. Kobert
Attorney's Docket No MI22-2379

Title: Method and Apparatus for Testing Semiconductor Circuitry for Operability and Method of Forming Apparatus for Testing Semiconductor Circuitry for Operability

EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY OF MAY 11, 2005

To:

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

From:

D. Brent Kenady

Tel. 509-624-4276; Fax 509-838-3424

Wells St. John P.S.

601 West First Avenue, Suite 1300

Spokane, WA 99201-3828

Applicant's representative held an interview with Examiner Kobert on May 11, 2005. Applicant's representative would like to thank Examiner Kobert for his time and attention to this matter.

The objection to the drawings for allegedly not showing all the positively recited features of claim 46 was discussed.

Additionally, the objection against claim 46 for the specification allegedly not disclosing the positively recited features of claim 46 was discussed.

Agreement was reached. The Examiner agreed that both objections were should be withdrawn and requested that Applicant's representative make a statement to that effect in the accompanying response, in addition to presenting the exemplary embodiment discussed during the interview that clearly supports claim 46. Applicant's representative has done so in the response filed herewith.

Applicant's representative is greatly appreciative of the efforts and time put in to this matter by Examiner Kobert.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: 5-16-05

Rv.

D. Brent Kenady Reg. No. 40,045